11

## Stereocontrolled Synthesis of Calyculin A: Construction of the C(15)–C(25) Spiroketal Unit

Anthony G. M. Barrett, \* a Jeremy J. Edmunds, b Kiyoshi Horita a and Christopher J. Parkinson a

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523, USA
<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

Two concise enantioselective syntheses of the C(15)–C(25) spiroketal unit of calyculin A, using derivatives of allyldiisopinocampheylborane efficiently to control 1,2- and 1,3-diol stereochemistries, are reported.

The calyculins are a group of marine natural products isolated from the sponge *Disocodermia calyx*.<sup>1</sup> Calyculin A 1 is a representative member of the series and the other calyculins differ from 1 by the presence of an additional methyl group at C-32 and/or by a change in the geometry of  $\Delta^2$  and/or  $\Delta^6$ . These structurally unusual substances are all noted for their potent activity in the starfish egg assay. All are very powerful inhibitors of phosphatase enzymes and are particularly effective against PP-1 and PP-2A phosphatases. For example, calyculin A 1 is active against rabbit skeletal muscle type PP-2A phosphatases at 0.5–1.0 nmol dm<sup>-3</sup> concentrations. Additionally, 1 is 20–300 times more active than okadaic acid against various PP-1 enzymes. In contrast, calyculin A 1 does not inhibit various acid, alkaline, and protein tyrosine phosphatases even at 1  $\mu$ mol dm<sup>-3</sup> concentration. Calyculin A1 also shows other activities. It is equipotent with phorbol esters and teleocidins in inflammation and tumour promotion tests. In common with many other marine and terrestrial natural



 $R^{1} = 3,4-(MeO)_{2}C_{6}H_{3}CH_{2}, R^{2} = 4-MeOC_{6}H_{4}CH_{2}, R^{3} = Me_{3}SiCH_{2}CH_{2}OCH_{2}$ 

13

12

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: (a) 12, THF, Et<sub>2</sub>O, -78 °C; NaBO<sub>3</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, H<sub>2</sub>O; (b) Bu'Me<sub>2</sub>SiOSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>, THF, 2,6-lutidine; (c) OsO<sub>4</sub> (catalytic), *N*-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide, Me<sub>2</sub>CO, H<sub>2</sub>O; (d) NaIO<sub>4</sub>, THF, H<sub>2</sub>O; (e) 13, THF, Et<sub>2</sub>O, -78 °C; HOCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, NaH (catalytic); (f) KN(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, THF, DMF, *p*-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Cl; (g) Bu<sub>4</sub>NF, THF; (h) Swern oxidation; (i) MeMgBr, THF; (j) LDA, THF, -78 °C, add 6; TsOH, MeOH, 25 °C; (k) KBHBu<sup>s</sup><sub>3</sub>, THF, -78 to -10 °C; NaOH, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. THF = tetrahydrofuran; DMF = dimethylformamide; LDA = lithium diisopropylamide; Ts = *p*-tolylsulfonyl; SEM = (2-trimethylsilylethoxy)methyl.



1237



 $R^1 = PhCH_2OCH_2$ ,  $R^2 = 4-MeOC_6H_4CH_2$ 

Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: (a) R<sup>1</sup>Cl, Pr<sup>i</sup><sub>2</sub>NEt, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (b) LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O; (c) Swern oxidation; (d) Ph<sub>3</sub>P=CHCO<sub>2</sub>Me, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (e) DIBAL-H, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (f) Sharpless epoxidation; (g) Red-Al, THF; (h) PPTS, Me<sub>2</sub>C(OMe)<sub>2</sub>, PhH; (i) Na, NH<sub>3</sub>, THF; (j) 9, LDA, THF, -78 °C, add 17; TsOH, MeOH, 25 °C (k) KBHBu<sup>s</sup><sub>3</sub>, THF, -78 to -10 °C; NaOH, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; (l) Et<sub>3</sub>SiCl, Et<sub>3</sub>N, imidazole, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -40 to -20 °C; (m) Bu<sup>t</sup>Me<sub>2</sub>SiOTf, Pr<sup>i</sup><sub>2</sub>NEt, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (n) O<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78 °C; Me<sub>2</sub>S. DIBAL-H = diisobutyl-aluminium hydride; PPTS = pyridinium toluene-*p*-sulfonate; Tf = trifluoromethylsulfonyl.

products, calyculin A 1 contains a spiroketal unit<sup>2</sup> and a 2,4-disubstituted oxazole residue.<sup>3</sup> Recent studies by both Fusetani and Shioiri have established the absolute stereochemistry of calyculin A as structure 1.4 In addition, several groups have reported synthetic studies on various fragments of calyculin, and Evans has completed the synthesis of the entire carbon skeleton of the natural product.<sup>5</sup> However, to date, no completed total syntheses have been reported. We now first report<sup>6</sup> our own approaches to these important natural products. Retrosynthetically, we considered that calyculin A 1 should be available from the oxazole amide 2, the cyanostannane 3, and the spiroketal diene 4.† In turn, it should be possible to construct the spiroketal unit 4 using an aldol reaction to establish the C(14)-C(15) bond. Herein, we describe two concise enantioselective syntheses of the C(15)-C(25) calyculin spiroketal residues 11 and 20.

Brown has introduced various allyl derivatives of diisopinocampheylborane as versatile reagents for the stereoselective construction of homoallylic alcohols.<sup>7</sup> This most elegant masked aldol chemistry forms the cornerstone of the asymmetric synthesis in Schemes 1 and 2. Thus, reaction of aldehyde 5 with (-)-(Z)-crotonyldiisopinocampheylborane 12, the reagent derived from (+)- $\alpha$ -pinene,<sup>7</sup> gave the corresponding homoallylic alcohol and this was readily transformed<sup>8.9</sup> into the protected aldehyde  $6.\ddagger$  In this transformation, the *syn*-relative stereochemistry (>95%)§ and the enantiomeric purity of the product (>95%)¶ were both excellent.

The aldehyde 7 was smoothly converted into the protected syn-diol derivative 8 using, as a key step, addition of the (Z)-borane 13. Again this process, which is a variation of

Brown's methodology,7,10 efficiently controlled both relative (>95%)§ and absolute (>95%)¶ stereochemistry. Reagent 13, which is readily available from (3,5-dioxaoct-7-en-1yl)trimethylsilane via lithiation (BusLi, THF, -78°C) and metathesis<sup>7</sup> with (+)-B-methoxydiisopinocampheylborane, should prove of general utility for the assembly of syn-1,2-diol arrays. The alcohol 8 was converted using three routine operations into the methyl ketone 9 (89%). Aldol coupling of ketone 9 and aldehyde 6 and acidification gave the spiroketal 10 as a mixture of stereoisomers. It is remarkable that these mild acidification reaction conditions resulted in cleavage of the usually robust<sup>8</sup> SEM protecting group, desilvlation, and spirocyclization. Although the two epimers of 10 could be easily separated and authenticated, the mixture, on a larger scale, was oxidized and stereoselectively reduced using K-Selectride<sup>11</sup> to give only the required axial isomer 11.

A second synthesis of the spiroketal unit of calyculin A was also undertaken. This provided additional material for the total synthesis and unequivocally established the structures in Scheme 1. Commercial methyl (R)-(+)-3-hydroxy-2-methylpropanoate 14 was converted into the aldehyde 17 via protection,<sup>8</sup> Swern oxidation,<sup>12</sup> and a Wittig homologation-Sharpless epoxidation sequence<sup>13</sup> as key processes. Aldol reaction of ketone 9 and aldehyde 17 gave, on acidification, the spiroketal 18. Again, this substance was formed as a mixture of epimers. Swern oxidation gave the corresponding keto-aldehyde and this was smoothly reduced to provide the diol 19. This substance was fully authenticated by an X-ray crystallographic study. Additionally, spiroketals 11 and 19 were correlated by deprotection. Finally, selective protection<sup>8</sup> of diol 19 and ozonolysis<sup>14</sup> gave the C(15)-C(25) spiroketal unit 20.

In conclusion, we have designed two concise methods for the elaboration of the spiroketal unit of calyculin A 1. These reactions underscore the flexibility and power of diisopinocampheylborane derivatives in asymmetric synthesis. Further progress in the area is summarized in the accompanying communications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> The synthesis was started before the determination of the absolute stereochemistry of the calyculins. Arbitrarily, the synthesis was directed towards the antipode of the natural product.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> All new compounds were fully characterized by spectral data and microanalyses or HRMS.

 $<sup>\</sup>$  In each case the diastere oselectivity was estimated from the  $\ ^1H$  NMR spectrum.

<sup>¶</sup> In each case, enantiomeric purities were estimated *via* the preparation of the corresponding Mosher ester and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy, see J. A. Dale, D. L. Dull and H. S. Mosher, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1969, **34**, 2543.

 $<sup>\</sup>parallel$  Details of the crystal structure of the diol **19** will be published elsewhere: M. A. Miller and O. P. Anderson, unpublished observations.

1238

We thank the National Institutes of Health for support for this program (AI-20644), G. D. Searle & Company for unrestricted support and microanalyses, the Uehara Memorial Life Science Fund for a fellowship (to K.H.), and the Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University for all FAB and HRMS data.

Received, 13th May 1992; Com. 2/02478K

## References

- 1 S. Matsunaga, H. Fujiki, D. Sakata and N. Fusetani, Tetrahedron, 1991, 47, 2999 and references therein.
- 2 F. Perron and K. F. Albizati, Chem. Rev., 1989, 89, 1617.
- 3 J. R. Lewis, Nat. Prod. Rep., 1988, 351.
- 4 S. Matsunaga and N. Fusetani, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 5605; Y. Hamada, Y. Tanada, F. Yokokawa and T. Shioiri, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 5983.
- 5 D. A. Evans and J. R. Gage, Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31, 6129; A. J. Duplantier, M. H. Nantz, J. C. Roberts, R. P. Short, P. Somfai and S. Masamune, Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 7357; Z. Zhao, G. R. Scarlato and R. W. Armstrong, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 1609; A. B. Smith III, J. J.-W. Duan, K. G. Hull and B. A. Salvatore, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 4855; A. B. Smith III, B. A. Salvatore, K. G. Hull and J. J.-W. Duan, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 4859; R. W. Armstrong and J. A. DeMattei, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 5749; A. M. P. Koskinen and J. Chen, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 6977; O. Hara, Y. Hamada and T. Shioiri, Synlett, 1991, 283, 285; F. Yokokawa, Y. Hamada and

T. Shioiri, Synlett., 1991, 149, 151, 153; D. A. Evans and J. R. Gage, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 1958; D. A. Evans, J. R. Gage, J. L. Leighton and A. J. Kim, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 52, 1961; D. A. Evans, J. R. Gage and J. L. Leighton, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 1964.

- 6 This work was presented at the 12th International Conference on Organic Synthesis, Cambridge, England, 23-25 July, 1991.
- For example, see: U. S. Racherla and H. C. Brown, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 401; P. K. Jadhav, K. S. Bhat, P. T. Perumal and 7 H. C. Brown, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 432; H. C. Brown and K. S. Bhat, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 5919; H. C. Brown, R. S. Randad, K. S. Bhat, M. Zaidlewicz and U. S. Racherla, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 2389; M. Srebmik and P. V. Ramachandran, Aldrichim. Acta, 1987, 20, 9 and references therein.
- 8 T. W. Greene, Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, Wiley, New York, 1981; T. W. Greene and P. G. M. Wuts, Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, 2nd edn., Wiley, New York, 1991; H. Kunz and H. Waldmann, 'Protecting Groups', in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, B. M. Trost, I. Fleming and E. Winterfeldt, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991, vol. 6, pp. 631-701.
- 9 R. Pappo, D. S. Allen, R. U. Lemieux and W. S. Johnson, J. Org. Chem., 1956, 21, 478.
- 10 H. C. Brown, P. K. Jadhav and K. S.Bhat, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 1535.
- 11 C. A. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 4100.
- 12 A. J. Mancuso, D. S. Brownfain and D. Swern, J. Org. Chem., 1979, 44, 4148.
- 13 P.Ma, V. S. Martin, S. Masamune, K. B. Sharpless and S. M. Viti, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1982, **47**, 1378. 14 J. J. Pappas, W. P. Keaveney, E. Gancher and M. Berger,
- Tetrahedron Lett., 1966, 4273.